

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/022,931

Applicant(s)

HAYSTEAD ET AL.

Examiner

Maureen M. Wallenhorst

Art Unit

1743

All Participants:(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.(2) Jason Piche (Appl. Rep.).**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 December 2003**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.**Rejection(s) discussed:**

none

Claims discussed:

1-39 and 62

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

It was agreed to cancel non-elected claims 1-38 and to make minor changes in claims 39 and 62 in order to provide further clarification and to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) _____